Seminole County Integrated Network

Cash Receipt, Impact Fees, and Concurrency Report







Seminole County Department of Planning and Development

University of Central Florida



Cash Receipt, Impact Fees, and Concurrency

| <u>CONT</u> | Page | | | | |
|-------------|----------------------------|--|----|--|--|
| 1. IN | 3 | | | | |
| 2. LI | 16 | | | | |
| 3. MI | 20 | | | | |
| 3. | 1. Busines | s Process Evaluation Methodology | 20 | | |
| 3. | .2 Technica | al Evaluation Methodology | 21 | | |
| 4. RE | SULTS | | 24 | | |
| 4. | 1 Busines | ss Process Evaluation Results | 26 | | |
| | 4.1.1 In | mpact Fees Procedures | 29 | | |
| | 4.1.2 C | oncurrency Process | 32 | | |
| | 4.1.3 C | ash Receipt Process | 37 | | |
| 4. | .2. Technic | al Evaluation Results | 38 | | |
| 5. BU | 5. BUSINESS REQUIREMENTS | | | | |
| 5. | 5.1 Expectations – General | | | | |
| 5. | 2 System | Categories Architecture | 52 | | |
| | 5.2.1 | Application | 54 | | |
| | 5.2.2 | Tracking | 57 | | |
| | 5.2.3 | Input Control | 60 | | |
| | 5.2.4 | Communications | 62 | | |
| | 5.2.5 | Connectivity | 65 | | |
| | 5.2.6 | Access | 67 | | |
| | 5.2.7 | Storage | 69 | | |
| | 5.2.8 | View | 71 | | |
| | 5.2.9 | Work Flow | 74 | | |
| 5. | .3 System | System-Level Use Cases | | | |
| | 5.3.1 | Customer | 77 | | |
| | 5.3.2 | Staff | 78 | | |
| | 5.3.3 | Administrator | 79 | | |
| | 5.3.4 | System | 80 | | |
| 5. | 4 Expecta | Expectations – Concurrency and Impact Fees | | | |
| 5. | .5 System | Categories Architecture | 85 | | |
| | 5.5.1 | Application | 85 | | |
| | 5.5.2 | Tracking | 87 | | |
| | 5.5.3 | Storage | 89 | | |



Cash Receipt, Impact Fees, and Concurrency

| | | 5.5.4 | Vie | W | 91 | | |
|----|---------------|--|--------------------------------|---|-----|--|--|
| | | 5.5.5 | Cor | nnectivity | 95 | | |
| | | 5.5.6 | Rep | ports | 97 | | |
| | 5.6 | System-Level Use Cases | | | | | |
| | | 5.6.1 | Cus | stomer | 99 | | |
| | | 5.6.2 | Stat | ff | 100 | | |
| | | 5.6.3 | Sys | tem | 101 | | |
| | 5.7 | Expectations – Cash and Receipts | | | | | |
| | 5.8 | System (| System Categories Architecture | | | | |
| | | 5.8.1 Tracking | | | 107 | | |
| | | 5.8.2 | Input Control | | | | |
| | | 5.8.3 | Acc | cess | 111 | | |
| | | 5.8.4 | Rec | eeipts / Balance | 113 | | |
| | | 5.8.5 | Wo | rk Flow | 115 | | |
| | | 5.8.6 | Cor | nnectivity | 117 | | |
| | | 5.8.7 | Pro | cess Payment | 119 | | |
| | 5.9 System-Le | | Leve | evel Use Cases | | | |
| | | 5.9.1 | Sta | ff | 122 | | |
| | | 5.9.2 | Adı | ministrator | 123 | | |
| | | 5.9.3 | Sys | tem | 124 | | |
| 6. | REC | RECOMMENDATIONS | | | | | |
| | 6.1 | Business Process Recommendations | | | | | |
| | 6.2 | New Impact Fees, Concurrency, and Cash Receipt Flow Charts | | | | | |
| | | Figure 6 | .1 | Processing City Impact Fees Single-Family | 131 | | |
| | | Figure 6 | .2 | Processing City Impact Fees – Commercial / Office | 132 | | |
| | | | | Industrial / Multi-Family Residential and Other | | | |
| | Figure 6 | | .3 | Processing Seminole County Impact Fees | 133 | | |
| | | | | Single-Family Residential | | | |
| | | Figure 6 | .4 | Processing County Impact Fees – Non-Residential | 134 | | |
| | | Figure 6 | .5 | Refund Impact Fees Procedure | 135 | | |
| | | Figure 6.6 | | Impact Fee – Appeal Procedure | 136 | | |
| | | Figure 6 | .7 | Concurrency Process | 137 | | |
| | | Figure 6 | .8 | Cash Receipt Procedure | 138 | | |
| | 6.3 | Technica | hnical Recommendations | | 139 | | |
| | | 6.3.1 | | System Architecture | 141 | | |
| | | 6.3.2 | | Use Case Diagram | 142 | | |
| | | | | | | | |